

Part 1 - Industry General Information

- 1) First, Last Name and function (of the person answering the survey)
- 2) Name of the Company
- 3) Company Commercial Operating Field

Accelerating Structures-Normal Conducting

Accelerating Structures-Super Conducting

Waveguides and waveguides Components

High Power Systems (Klystrons, Modulators, Inductive Output Tubes,...)

Vacuum Chambers

Pumping Systems (Ion Pumps, Turbo-molecular,...)

Diagnostics

Normal Conducting Magnets

Super Conducting Magnets

Magnets Power Supplies

Cryogenic systems

Other specialized mechanical components for accelerators

Other specialized mechanical components for magnets

Electronics and instrumentation for accelerators

Electronics and instrumentation for magnets

- 4) Other details on Company Operating Fields
- 5) What is the annual company % of turnover relative to the field of accelerator technology?
- 6) What is the annual company % of turnover relative to the field of magnet technology?
- 7) Number of Employees
- 8) Number of Employees (FTE) devoted to R&D

9) Do/did you have collaborations/business/supply of components with these Institutes?

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives (CEA)

European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN)

Stiftung Deutches Elektronen-Synchrotron Desy (DESY)

Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare (INFN)

The Henryk Niewodniczanski Inst. of Nuclear Physics, Polish Acad. of Sciences (IFJ)

Centre National De La Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)

Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC)

Uppsala Universitet (UU)

Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI)

Kalsruher Institut Fuer Technologie (KIT)

Other: specify

10) What kind of business/collaboration do/did you have with the mentioned Institutes?

Supply of standard/catalogue components for Institute

Development of custom components for Institute including design

Supply of build to print component for Institute

Purchase of special components from Institute

Use of Technical Platforms in the Institute

Research Collaboration

Licensing

Part 2 - Collaboration: Description, Results, Agreement

1) How was the collaboration with Institute established?

Public tender

Supply of standard/catalogue components for Institute

European project that foresaw a collaboration between Institute and the private

Company

National funding that foresaw a collaboration between Institute and the private

Company

Other: specify

2) Who is your contact in the Institute?

Commissariat à l'énergie atomique et aux énergies alternatives (CEA)

European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN)

Stiftung Deutches Elektronen-Synchrotron Desy (DESY)

Istituto Nazionale Fisica Nucleare (INFN)

The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of

Sciences (IFJ)

Centre National De La Recherche Scientifique (CNRS)

Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC)

Uppsala Universitet (UU)

Paul Scherrer Institut (PSI)

Kalsruher Institut Fuer Technologie (KIT)

Other: specify

- 3) Among the interactions you had with Institute, what percentage was problematic?
- 4) In particular you had immediate interaction on
- 5) In particular you had problematic interaction on
- 6) During the past 10 years, did you collaborate with Institute in scientific publications, commercial products development, prototype development, patent requests? Please specify the number of collaborations you had in each of these fields

Publication

Patent

Prototype

Commercial Product

7) The commercial products you developed in collaboration with Institute were finalized to

Products of interest for the Institute only

Products for external market whose idea has been conceived by Institute

Products for external market whose idea has been conceived by the Company

8) What type of commercial products have you developed in collaboration with Institute?

Research Equipment

Medical products (e.g. diagnostics systems, etc...)

National security (e.g. X-ray scan systems)

Material treatment (e.g. sterilization,...)

Other: specify

- 9) Did the collaboration have the possibility to support qualified personnel like Ph.D. students, temporary contract researcher, technician, interns? If so, please indicate who paid for them:
- 10) Other comments on the social impact of Collaboration (e.g. after the collaboration the qualified personnel has been hired by the Industry, etc...)
- 11) In the framework of the collaboration, were there some training/education from the Institute to Industry personnel?
- 12) Has the training/education from the Institute to Industry personnel been useful?
- 13) In the framework of the collaboration, were there some training/education from the Industry to Institute personnel?
- 14) What training your company will be interested in?

Superconducting radio frequency
Vacuum technology
Cryogenics technology
Superconducting magnet technology
Beam diagnostics
Other: specify

15) Would your company be interested in :

E-learning (MOOC)
On-line training (professor somewhere trainees at another location) for the theoretical part
Hands-on training
Other: specify

- 16) Within the collaboration, were there issues on Intellectual Property Rules and/or Patenting Rules?
- 17) If yes, was there a standard agreement model on Property Rules and/or Patenting Rules proposed by the Institute?
- 18) Were you satisfied with the proposed "agreement" with the Institute?
- 19) Were there particular problems/limitations during this phase? Or do you have suggestions in order to facilitate/to improve the "agreement" stipulation/management?

Part 3 – Access to Technological Facility of Research Institutes

- 1) Have you ever had the possibility to use/have access to Technological Facility of Institute?
- 2) What type of Technical Platform did you use?

Test beam facilities

Magnet manufacturing equipment

Magnet measurement equipment

Cryogenics plants

Radiofrequency cavity measurements

Chemistry, clean room and assembly halls

Characterization and measurement laboratories

Other: specify

- 3) How was the utility for the Industry?
- 4) How was the access to the equipment?
- 5) Do you have any suggestions in order to improve or facilitate the use of equipment of Institute
- 6) In the collaboration, has Institute personnel been involved?

Yes technician

Yes researcher

Yes but for the use of the Institute equipment only

No

- 7) Referring to the involvement of Institute personnel how was the utility for the Industry?
- 8) Referring to the Institute personnel, was it easy to involve them?
- 9) In case of not easy access to support from Institute personnel what were the main limitations
- 10) What was the time lapse from the request to the effective access to Technological Facility equipment or support from Institute personnel?
- 11) Is it suitable for the project timescale of your company?

<u>Part 4 – Participation to Tenders and/or National/European</u> <u>funding Calls</u>

1)	Did you participate to a tender published by Institute?
2)	Was the participation easy?
	In case of difficult participation what were the issues? Bureaucracy complications Difficulties in submitting the documents Difficulties to have clarifications on the tender Other: specify Did you ever participate to a National/Regional funded Call in collaboration with Institute? If
	yes Specify
5)	How can you describe this experience?
6)	Are the National/Regional funded Calls sufficiently promoted from the Institutions?
7)	Are the National/Regional funded Calls sufficiently clear in their purpose and easy to submit?
8)	Suggestions for enhancing the impact of National Call for Companies
9)	Did you ever participate to a European Call in collaboration with some Institute? If Yes Specify
10)	How can you describe this experience?
11)	Are the European funded Calls sufficiently promoted from the Institutions?
12)	Are the European funded Calls sufficiently clear in their purpose and easy to submit?
13)	Suggestion for the improvement of the impact of European Call
14)	Do you think Institute are well prepared in "Project Writing"?

Part 5 - The collaboration I wish!

- 1) Do you know the research activities and the available Technological Facilities of the Institute whom you collaborate with? (visit http://eu-amici.eu/technology_infrastructure)
- 2) How did you know them?

Seminars

Open day event

Personal relationship

Web Sites

Other: specify

- 3) Do you think the available information is satisfying?
- 4) In any case, what kind of information would be useful for you?

Available equipment

Available personnel profile

Details of Research projects

- 5) How would you like to have this information presented (website, dedicated meetings...)?
- 6) In particular, let us know if you would like to suggest improvement in the presentation on the AMICI website? (visit http://eu-amici.eu/)